

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/648,870	08/25/2000	Hiroyuki Hara	B588-011	6789
26272	7590 10/27/2003		EXAM	INER
ROBIN BLECKER & DALEY			ELISCA, PIERRE E	
2ND FLOOR 330 MADISO	N AVENUE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
NEW YORK, NY 10017			3621	
			DATE MAILED: 10/27/2003	3

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/648,870 Applicant(s)

Examiner

Art Unit Pierre E. Elisca

3621

Hiroyuki Hara

	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the correspondence address			
	for Reply				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the					
mailing - If the p - If NO p	date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the	e statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. nd will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.			
-	ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of t patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	his communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any			
Status					
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	•			
2a) ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b) 💢 This act	ion is non-final.			
3) 🗆	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposi	tion of Claims				
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-14</u>	is/are pending in the application.			
4	a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
5) 🗌	Claim(s)	is/are allowed.			
6) 🔀	Claim(s) /-/4	is/are rejected.			
7) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are objected to.			
8) 🗆	Claims	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.			
Applica	tion Papers				
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	a) \square accepted or b) \square objected to by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the d	rawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) \square approved b) \square disapproved by the Examiner.			
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply t	o this Office action.			
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami	ner.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) [☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.				
	2. \square Certified copies of the priority documents hav	e been received in Application No			
	application from the International Bure				
-	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the				
_	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic				
a) In the translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.					
Attachm		priority under 35 0.5.2. 33 120 and/or 121.			
	tice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).			
	tice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					

Art Unit: 3621



Examiner Pierre Eddy Elisca

United States Department of Commerce

Patent and Trademark Office

Washington, D.C. 20231

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. This Office action is in response to Applicant's RCE/Amendment, filed on 08/21/2003.
- 2. Claims 1-14 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 (a) as being unpatentable over Sato et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 6,108,638) in view of Mochizuki (U.S. Pat. No. 6,463,539).

Art Unit: 3621

As per claims 1, 2, Sato substantially discloses a data processing system/method which comprises a plurality of input units for registering selected products, and a processing unit connected to the plurality of input units for calculating a total price for the selected products (which is readable as Applicant's claimed invention wherein it is stated that a charge calculation), comprising: first calculation means for calculating a charge for using application software applied to create and/or edit data (see., abstract, col 4, lines 24-67, col 6, lines 6-55, fig 6, specifically wherein said calculating the total price in the operating means by reading all the PLU data of the products (products or application software). It is to be noted that Sato fails to explicitly disclose a second calculation means for calculating a charge for using a data input/output device (data input/output or computer or calculating a charge for using a computer or device). However, Mochizuki discloses a IC card (IC card or device or computer or processor) that includes a utilization information, and a number of judging points at where reproduction of the information is judged, and a charging information calculated from a degree of software utilization, please note that the software utilization is stored in the IC card. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the data processing of Sato by including the limitations detailed above as taught by Mochizuki because this would interrupt information that is not charged to the user.

As per claim 3, Sato discloses the claimed limitations, wherein said data input/output device comprises at least one of a printer, scanner, copying machine, server, facsimile apparatus, and external storage device (see., fig 6).

Art Unit: 3621

As per claims 4, 5, 6 Sato substantially discloses a data processing system/method which comprises a plurality of input units for registering selected products, and a processing unit connected to the plurality of input units for calculating a total price for the selected products(which is readable as Applicant's claimed invention wherein it is stated that a charge calculation apparatus), comprising: first calculation means for calculating a charge for using application software applied to create and/or edit data (see., abstract, col 4, lines 24-67, col 6, lines 6-55, fig 6, specifically wherein said calculating the total price in the operating means by reading all the PLU data of the products (products or application software). It is to be noted that Sato fails to explicitly disclose a second calculation means for calculating a charge for using a data input/output device (data input/output or computer or calculating a charge for using a computer or device). However, Mochizuki discloses a IC card (IC card or device or computer or processor) that includes a utilization information, and a number of judging points at where reproduction of the information is judged, and a charging information calculated from a degree of software utilization, please note that the software utilization is stored in the IC card. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the data processing of Sato by including the limitations detailed above as taught by Mochizuki because this would interrupt information that is not charged to the user.

As per claims 7, 8, and 10-12 Sato substantially discloses a data processing system/method which comprises a plurality of input units for registering selected products, and a processing unit connected

Art Unit: 3621

to the plurality of input units for calculating a total price for the selected products (which is readable as Applicant's claimed invention wherein it is stated that a charge calculation apparatus), comprising: first calculation step of calculating a charge for using application software applied to create and/or edit data (see., abstract, col 4, lines 24-67, col 6, lines 6-55, fig 6, specifically wherein said calculating the total price in the operating means by reading all the PLU data of the products (products or application software). It is to be noted that Sato fails to explicitly disclose a second calculation step of calculating a charge for using a data input/output device (data input/output or computer or calculating a charge for using a computer or device). However, Mochizuki discloses a IC card (IC card or device or computer or processor) that includes a utilization information, and a number of judging points at where reproduction of the information is judged, and a charging information calculated from a degree of software utilization, please note that the software utilization is stored in the IC card. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the data processing of Sato by including the limitations detailed above as taught by Mochizuki because this would interrupt information that is not charged to the user.

As per claim 9, Sato discloses the claimed limitations, wherein said data input/output device comprises at least one of a printer, scanner, copying machine, server, facsimile apparatus, and external storage device (see., fig 6).

Art Unit: 3621

As per claims 13 and 14, Sato substantially discloses a data processing system/method which comprises a plurality of input units for registering selected products, and a processing unit connected to the plurality of input units for calculating a total price for the selected products(which is readable as Applicant's claimed invention wherein it is stated that a charge calculation apparatus), comprising: a code of a first calculation step of calculating a charge for using application software applied to create and/or edit data (see., abstract, col 4, lines 24-67, col 6, lines 6-55, fig 6, specifically wherein said calculating the total price in the operating means by reading all the PLU data of the products (products or application software). It is to be noted that Sato fails to explicitly disclose a code of a second data calculation step of calculating a charge for using a data input/output device (data input/output or computer or calculating a charge for using a computer or device). However, Mochizuki discloses a IC card (IC card or device or computer or processor) that includes a utilization information, and a number of judging points at where reproduction of the information is judged, and a charging information calculated from a degree of software utilization, please note that the software utilization is stored in the IC card. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the data processing of Sato by including the limitations detailed above as taught by Mochizuki because this would interrupt information that is not charged to the user.

Art Unit: 3621

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication from the examiner should be directed to Pierre Eddy Elisca at (703) 305-3987. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday to Friday from 6:30AM. to 5:00PM.

If any attempt to reach the examiner by telephone is unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Trammell can be reached on (703) 305-9768.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

The Official Fax Number For TC-3600 is:

(703) 305-7687

Pierre Eddy Elisca

Patent Examiner

October 23, 2003